



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,929	10/13/2004	Rajnish K. Chitkara	SYB/0110.01	5928
31779	7590	04/27/2009		
JOHN A. SMART 201 LOS GATOS SARATOGA RD, #161 LOS GATOS, CA 95030-5308			EXAMINER GORTAYO, DANGELINO N	
			ART UNIT 2168	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/27/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/711,929	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHITKARA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANGELINO N. GORTAYO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANGELINO N. GORTAYO. (3) Rajnish Chitkara.

(2) John A. Smart(Reg. No. 34,929) . (4) Barbara Banks.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-99.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Applicant's representative went over a general summary of the invention, particularly the problem the invention alleviates. The prior art of Newman was discussed as it pertains to named encryptions keys. No agreement was reached..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dangelino N Gortayo/ Examiner, Art Unit 2168	
--	--